Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2
	09/532,514	 KUDO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Ferris	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to 30 April 2004. 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-4,6-23 and 26-28. 3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	ears on the cover sheet with the co (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.	olication. If not included will be mailed in due cours	ie. THIS he initiative
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No		rom the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirer	nents
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			E OF
6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date 18. Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the sheet.	on's Patent Drawing Review(PTO s Amendment / Comment or in the C .84(c)) should be written on the drawir	Office action of	:) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC,	nust be submitted. Note t AL MATERIAL.	he
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. 【 Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dal 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr	le <u>froug</u>	,

Application/Control Number: 09/532,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed 30 April 2004.

Claims 1-4, 6-23 and 26-28 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1-4, and 6
18 were previously allowed. Claims 5, 24, and 25 have been cancelled by applicants.

Amended claims 19-23 and 26-28 have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 30 April 2004 have been fully considered and found to be persuasive.

Regarding applicant's response to 112(2) rejections: The examiner withdraws the 112(2) rejection in view of applicant's amendment to claim 19.

Regarding applicant's response to 102/103 prior art prior art rejections:

Applicants have amended independent claims 19 and 20 to distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art of record. (See interview summary dated 26 May 2004)

Accordingly, the examiner withdraws the 102/103 prior art prior rejection of claims 19-23 and 26-28 in view of applicant's amendment to independent claims 19 and 20 and interview summary dated 26 May 2004.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-4, 6-18,19-23 and 26-28 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiners statement of reasons allowance:

Application/Control Number: 09/532,514

Art Unit: 2128

Per claims 1-4, and 6-18: Independent claim 1 uses "means for" language and is given deference in view of In re Donaldson and interpreted in view of 35 U.S.C. § 112 paragraph 6. The "means for" language and the limitations (bus control means and memory control means) related thereto of claim 1 are interpreted within the scope of enablement as provided within the relative embodiment provided within applicant's specification. Specifically, independent claim 1 recites a bus control "means for" and memory control "means for" that are described by the specification in passages beginning on page 10, line 2, and page 14, line 19 respectively. The examiner has therefore interpreted the specific bus control means, memory control means, and associated external terminal connections as specifically disclosed in applicant's specification on page 10, line 2 to page 11, line 10 (bus control), page 11, line 15 to page 12, line 9 (terminal connection), page 14, line 19 to page 15, line 8 (memory control), and page 23, lines 15-27 (memory control). Claims 2-4, and 6-18 are allowable as being dependent from claim 1.

Per claims 19-23 and 26-28: Independent claims 19 and 20 claim limitations relating to an emulation method and microcomputer for executing processor instructions, sending external signals, outputting control signals by a memory controller, connecting processor bus by a bus controller, sharing an emulation memory, and an external terminal connection. This has been disclosed in the prior art. The prior art of record, while disclosing these features does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely:

Application/Control Number: 09/532,514

Art Unit: 2128

"The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an **ipsissimis verbis** test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. **In re Bond**, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

In particular, the prior art of record does not disclose (see claim 19, for example) features pertaining to the specific operation of the bus controller, memory controller, and associated external terminal connections as specifically disclosed in applicant's specification on page 10, line 2 to page 11, line 10 (bus control), page 11, line 15 to page 12, line 9 (terminal connection), page 14, line 19 to page 15, line 8 (memory control), and page 23, lines 15-27 (memory control), in the context of the claims. (also see interview summary dated 26 May 2004) Claims 21-23, and 26-28 are allowable as being dependent from independent claim 20.

The closest prior art uncovered during examination is:

- U.S. Patent 6,240,377 issued to Kai et al.
- U.S. Patent 5,313,618 issued to Pawlowski
- U.S. Patent 5,623,673 issued to Gephardt et al
- U.S. Patent 4,939,637 issued to Pawlowski

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 703-305-9670 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

The Official Fax Numbers are:

Official

(703) 872-9306

Fred Ferris. Patent Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2128
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Crystal Park 2, Room 2A22
Crystal City, Virginia 22202
Phone: (703) 305 - 9670
FAX: (703) 305 - 7240
Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov
May 27, 2004

HICH JONES Ph.D. INTER 2100